



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,068	10/28/2003	J. Stewart Young	4002-3432	5996

7590 06/26/2008  
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP  
Suite 3700  
Bank One Center/Tower  
111 Monument Circle  
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137

EXAMINER
----------

CUMBERLEDGE, JERRY L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3733

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/26/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/695,068	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YOUNG ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> JERRY CUMBERLEDGE	<b>Art Unit</b> 3733

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 March 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-18, 21 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-18, 21 and 31-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                                            |                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                                |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Specification***

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The amendment filed 03/28/2008 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Applicant uses the term "blade" in claim 33. However, this term is not found in the specification. The term "blade" in context of the present art is generally a pointed tip found at the end of a hook portion. Applicant uses the term "tip" in the specification (*i.e.* ref. 363), but does not refer to the tip as being pointed or otherwise bladed. The tip of reference 363 will be considered to be the "blade" portion for examination purposes.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 10-16, 21, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (US Pat. 6,699,248 B2) in view of Miskew (US Pat. 4,274,401).

Jackson discloses a vertebral support apparatus said apparatus comprising: first and second spinal rods (Fig. 1, refs. 4); a solid non-hollow shaft (Fig. 3, ref. 5), wherein said shaft is solid across the entire cross-section of said shaft (Fig. 3) and includes no internal cavity (Fig. 3); a first hook (Fig. 3, ref. 14) including a first internal surface (Fig. 3) having a curved portion (Fig. 3), said first rod contacting said first internal surface (Fig. 3); and a second hook (Fig. 3, ref. 11) including a first end unitary and integral with the shaft at a position axially displaced from the first hook (Fig. 3), said second hook terminating at a second end spaced laterally from the shaft (Fig. 3) and comprising a second internal surface (Fig. 3) having a curved portion (Fig. 3), wherein said shaft includes a first threaded hole associated with said first hook (Fig. 3, ref. 22), and a set screw (Fig. 3, ref. 25) extends through said first threaded hole contacting said first rod (Fig. 3) and forcing said first rod against said first internal surface (Fig. 3), and wherein said shaft includes a second threaded hole (Fig. 3, near ref. 12)(Fig. 2) associated with said second hook (Fig. 3), and a set screw (Fig. 3, ref. 29) extends through said second threaded hole contacting said second rod (Fig. 3). The first end, the second end of the second hook, and the shaft define a first plane and the first hook extends laterally from the shaft along the first plane (Fig. 3). The shaft defines a substantially planar plate (Fig. 1)(Fig. 3). The apparatus comprises a first threaded aperture (Fig. 3, ref. 22) through said shaft and said curved portion of the first hook (Fig. 3). The first hook is secured to the first spinal rod and the second hook is secured to a second spinal rod (Fig. 1)(Fig. 3). The apparatus is formed as a one-piece unit (Fig. 3).

Jackson discloses a method of treating a spinal deformity, said method comprising: securing a first spinal rod and a second spinal rod to two or more vertebrae (Fig. 1); providing an apparatus according to claim 1 (above); and interconnecting the first spinal rod and the second spinal rod by securing the first spinal rod to the first hook and the second spinal rod to the second hook (Fig. 1).

Jackson discloses an interconnection apparatus for securing a pair of elongate members, said apparatus comprising: a solid non-hollow shaft (Fig. 3, ref. 5), wherein said shaft is solid across the entire cross-section of said shaft and includes no internal cavity (Fig. 3, ref. 5); a first hook (Fig. 3, ref. 14) including a first internal surface (Fig. 3) having a curved portion (Fig. 3) configured to at least partly encircle a first one of the pair of non-parallel, elongate members (Fig. 1); and a second hook (Fig. 3, ref. 20) including a first end unitary and integral with the shaft at a position axially displaced from the first hook (Fig. 1, end of ref. 11), said second hook terminating at a second end (Fig. 3) spaced laterally from the shaft (Fig. 3) and comprising a second internal surface (Fig. 3), and said shaft, first hook and second hook being a one-piece unit (Fig. 2). The apparatus comprises a first spinal rod secured to the first rod connector (Fig. 1, ref. 4) and a second spinal rod secured to the second rod connector (Fig. 1, ref. 4). The axial distance between said first hook and said second hook is permanent and non-adjustable (Fig. 3).

Jackson discloses a vertebral support apparatus comprising: first and second elongated support rods (Fig. 1, refs. 4); a one-piece connector engaging both of said rods (Fig. 3, ref. 5), said connector having a solid non-hollow shaft (Fig. 3, ref. 5),

Art Unit: 3733

wherein said shaft is solid across the entire cross-section of said shaft and includes no internal cavity (Fig. 3, ref. 5), said connector further having a first hook portion (Fig. 3, ref. 14) with a blade (*i.e.* tip, as discussed above, in the objection) (Fig. 3) laterally spaced from said shaft and pointing generally along the direction of said shaft first internal surface having a curved portion configured to at least partly encircle a first one of the pair of non-parallel, elongate members (Fig. 1)(Fig. 3), said connector farther having a second hook portion (Fig. 3, ref. 11) with a blade (Fig. 3)(*i.e.* tip, as discussed above, in the objection) laterally spaced from said shaft and pointing generally along the direction of said shaft and a first end attached to said shaft at a position displaced along said shaft from said first hook (Fig. 3), said second hook (Fig. 3, ref. 11) terminating at a second end spaced laterally from said shaft (Fig. 3, end of ref. 11) and comprising a second internal surface (Fig. 3) having a curved portion (Fig. 3), wherein said first rod is locked in contact with said first internal surface of said first hook, and said second rod is locked in contact with said saddle, said first rod and said second rod being non-parallel.

Jackson discloses the claimed invention except for the curved portion including a ridge extending along said curved portion in a direction from the first end to the second end, wherein said second rod contacts said ridge; the second internal surface curves both in a first direction from the shaft to the second end and in a second direction oblique to the first direction, wherein said curves in said first and second directions are overlapping and intersecting; the internal surface curves in a second direction substantially orthogonal to the first direction; the internal surface curves in a second

Art Unit: 3733

direction at an acute angle to the first direction; the internal surface curves in a second direction at an obtuse angle to the first direction; and a saddle extending along said curved portion in a direction from said first end to said second end. Jackson does disclose a set screw that presses a thread into the rod in order to provide stability to the construct (column 2, lines 66-67)(column 3, lines 1-10).

Miskew discloses a spinal apparatus (Fig. 7) that comprises hooks (Fig. 5, ref. 74) that comprise ridges (or saddles) (Fig. 5, ref. 78) that extend along the curved portion of the hooks and produce curves that arch in an oblique direction relative to the curve of the hook and create an internal surface that curves in a direction substantially orthogonal to the first direction. The ridges being used to press into bone (Fig. 5) in order to provide stability to the construct (column 6, lines 29-38).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the thread mechanism disclosed by Jackson with the ridge mechanism disclosed by Miskew, in order to achieve the predictable result of providing stability to the construct.

With regard to the acute and obtuse angles of the ridge, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the ridge as being obtuse or acute, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (US Pat. 6,699,248 B2) in view of Miskew (US Pat. 4,274,401) in view of Lombardo (US Pat. 6,238,396 B1).

Jackson discloses the claimed invention except for the shaft having a round or oval cross-sectional profile and the shaft being curved. Jackson does disclose a shaft that is used to connect two hooks (Fig. 3, ref. 5)

Lombardo discloses a spinal device that comprises hooks (Fig. 12A) that comprises a shaft that has a round profile (Fig. 12A, ref. 73) that can be either straight or curved (Fig. 12A)(Fig. 12B)(Fig. 12C). The shaft is used to connect two hooks (Fig. 12A)(Fig. 12B)(Fig. 12C).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the shaft of Jackson with any of the shafts of Lombardo in order to achieve the predictable result of connecting two hooks.

Claims 7, 8, 17, 18 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson (US Pat. 6,699,248 B2) in view of Miskew (US Pat. 4,274,401) in view of Jackson (US Pat. 5,980,523).

Jackson '248 discloses the claimed invention except for the first spinal rod and the second spinal rod are positioned to lie non-parallel to each other. The first spinal rod and the second spinal rod are positioned to not lie in the same plane.



Jackson '523 discloses a spinal stabilization system that comprises rods that are positioned non-parallel and non-planar to one another (Fig. 25). This allows for greater adjustability during surgical procedures (column 5, lines 28-47).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the device of Jackson '248 with the spinal rods being positioned to lie non-parallel and non-planar to one another, in order to allow for greater adjustability during surgical procedures (column 5, lines 28-47).

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JERRY CUMBERLEDGE whose telephone number is (571)272-2289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 3733

/Eduardo C. Robert/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733